

```
DANIEL J. BRODERICK, CA Bar #89424
 1
    Federal Defender
    LINDA C. HARTER, CA Bar #179741
    Chief Assistant Federal Defender
 3
    Designated Counsel for Service
    801 I Street, 3rd Floor
    Sacramento, California 95814
 4
    Telephone: (916) 498-5700
 5
 6
    Attorney for Defendant
    CECILE LOUISE GREATHOUSE
 7
 8
 9
                       IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                      FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
13
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                     ) No. Cr. S 98-068 JAM
14
                    Plaintiff,
                                        JOINT STIPULATION TO DISMISS
15
                                        PETITION FILED 8/3/09 AND
         v.
                                        CONTINUE ON SUPERVISION; ORDER
    CECILE LOUISE GREATHOUSE,
16
17
                    Defendant.
                                        Time:
18
                                        Judge: Hon. John A. Mendez
19
20
```

BACKGROUND

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

Ms. Greathouse's 60 month term of supervised release began in March of 2007. The petition to revoke supervision was filed on August 3, 2009 and concerns a theft allegation dating back to November 23, 2008. This incident occurred at Ms. Greathouse's previous place of employment.

Ms. Greathouse is currently employed full-time. She lives and works in the Fresno area. She is supervised by Mr. Pulido from U.S.

Probation in Fresno. She is otherwise in compliance with her terms of supervision.

THE STIPULATION

It is the opinion of probation and the parties that this incident does not warrant revocation of supervision and that Ms. Greathouse does not pose a danger to the community. For this reason, the parties and probation are requesting that the Court dismiss the Petition filed August 3, 2009. The parties, with the full agreement of the probation officer, believe that Ms. Greathouse should be continued supervision under the same terms and conditions except that the parties further agree that an additional condition of supervision requiring 100 hours of community service should be added as a sanction for this incident. The parties jointly request that this matter be addressed by stipulation so that neither the defendant, nor the probation officer, will need to travel from Fresno to attend a Court hearing.

Dated: September 21, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL J. BRODERICK Federal Defender

Linda C. Harter

LINDA C. HARTER
Chief Assistant Federal Defender
Attorney for Defendant
CECILE LOUISE GREATHOUSE

LAWRENCE G. BROWN U.S. Attorney

Jason S. Hitt

JASON S. HITT Assistant U.S. Attorney

Case 2:98-cr-00068-JAM Document 335 Filed 09/22/09 Page 3 of 3

1 ORDER

For the reason set forth in the Stipulation, the Petition For Warrant or Summons for Offender Under Supervision, filed August 3, 2009, is hereby dismissed. The defendant, Cecile Louise Greathouse, is to remain under supervision under the terms and conditions previously set with the added condition that she complete 100 hours of community service before the end of her supervision.

Dated: September 21, 2009 /s/ John A. Mendez
HON. JOHN A. MENDEZ

U.S. District Court Judge